Previous references.

No. Pers A 479 & 17.9.03
S&R 135 of 1903-04
S&R 2 of 1897-98

File No.

1904

11 of 03-04

(S & A)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 7

PART B FOR September

SUBJECT.

Modification of the existing rules for the grant of casual leave in combination with Sundays & other authorised holidays.

FILE No. S. & A. 11 of 1903-04.

Modification of the existing rules for the grant of casual leave.

1 To the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, No. P. & A. 1101, dated the 10th December 1903.

Enquires what the practice is in the Madras Presidency and other parts of British India as regards the grant of casual leave to both the officers enjoying and those not enjoying annual vacations, and requests the favor of his obtaining the existing orders on the subject.

2 From the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, No. 26, dated the 5th January 1904.

Invites the attention of the Durbar to the provisions of the note to Article 232 of the Civil Service Regulations, and states that as regards the grant of casual leave both the officers enjoying and not enjoying annual vacations are placed on the same footing, so far as the Resident is aware, and that there appears to be no necessity to ask the Local Governments for copies of the orders issued by them.

3 To the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, No. P. & A. 1973, dated the 16th March 1904.

Enquires whether the Madras Government Order No. 424—Public, dated the 2nd May 1903, is confined only to Madras, and if so, whether there is any rule, on the subject in other parts of British India, as well as in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, and requests to be furnished for the use of the Durbar, with copies of the above order and of other orders, if any, on the point.

4 From the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, No. 1999, dated the 16th April 1904

Forwards copy of the Madras Government Order above referred to, and invites the attention of the Durbar to the provisions of Section 220 of the Civil Service Regulations, and states that so far as is known to the Resident, there is no objection to a Sunday or Gazetted holiday being combined with casual leave, and adds that such combination is allowed in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore.

5 To the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, the other Heads of Departments, and the Deputy Commissioners of Districts, No. Fl. 171—210, dated the 9th July 1904.

Directs that subject to certain provisos, casual leave may be combined in any manner with Sundays and other authorized holidays and requests the Comptroller to submit for the approval of Government, draft addenda and corrigenda to the Mysore Service Regulations modifying the existing rules on the subject.

6 From the Comptroller in Mysore, No. 994—Spl. 22, dated the 7th August 1904.

Submits for the approval of Government as desired draft addenda and corrigenda to the Mysore Service Regulations.

7 To the Comptroller in Mysore, No. Fl. 1317, dated the 2nd September 1904.

Approves the addenda and corrigenda with slight alterations.

Submitted: +

May this file be transferred to the P.H. Branch with reference to the 2nd Councillor's remarks about the ~~Cas~~ question of Casual leave?

23/10/03

~~[illegible]~~

26/10/03

Why the file. The Obsn may be copied & sent for further action.

27/10/03

2nd Councillor's observation dt 21.10.03

Seen. I think our Casual leave rules are defective & illiberal as we at the rules in Madras please. Could we not bring our

Ec.7.22-96. Wr. T. N. Narayanamoorthi Ali Khan's file.

No 294/Ec.7.22-96 dt 29.9.03.

+ + + +

The Executive Commissioner is invited to Art. 175 of the M.S. Regns wh prohibits the grant of Casual leave of absence in continuation of authorized holidays when the total period of absence exceeds 5 days.

rules into line with the Madras Rules?

To P&C Branch for further action.

Issue
27.10.03

The Madras rule is quoted in the letter No. 909/30 d 12/8/03 from the Registrar of the chief court of Mysore. In this letter the chief court proposed to bring the Mysore rules into line with the Madras rules. Govt declined to make any modification of the present rules. (Please see the accompanying file).

Subd.
DB
10/11/03

DB
11/11/03

15/11/3

The Secretary to Government

499
16-11-03

certain minor and minis-
-terial had been given
to some officers and officials
by articles 172 & 173
which however have
recently been abrogated -
The insertion of the words
"exceeding 15 days" after
"on Privilege leave" in
article 188 is no doubt a quid pro quo and a
concession but it does not
have the effect
so far enough - I think

article 175 which limits casual leave to 5 days & lasting into accompanied holidays and Sundays, is an unnecessary & irksome rule which does not obtain in British India. While quite in agreement with the cancelment of articles 172 & 173 which were not infrequently being abused and which have been sufficiently replaced by the modification of article 188, I am disposed to think that the restriction in article 175 in so far as the letting into accompanied holidays & Sundays, is removed, in the same way and to the same extent as in British India. I may suggest an amendment of the article accordingly. As it stands, the article operates with great hardship

17-11-

Submitted to the Dewan –

17/11/3

14109
18.11.03

15324

The very idea of casual leave involves the absence of adequate local arrangements during the absence of the Officer on leave. The shortness of the period is accounted for by this consideration. If there are holidays before it the period of leave will be changed – If the Dewan has considered this new I have no objection to the matter being placed before Council –

18.XI.03

To the Secretary to Council –

19/11/3

Rd
20.11.03

I am quite willing that holidays during leave shall not be ignored whether in Mysore or in British India and that if in reckoning the 5 days' minimum we leave out of account authorised holidays both immediately before and immediately after the casual leave – Please draft orders & place before Council as desired by the Dewan –

21/11/03

C 154

~~Matter for Council meeting~~

~~Council matter for disposal by Circulation.~~

(For disposal by Circulation)

Subject.

Modification of the existing rul
So as to provide for the grant of
Casual leave for five days. in co
-nation either immediately before
after with Sundays and other authoriz
holidays —

2. XII. 03

Circulated to Councillors.

By Order,
H. Manjunadayya
Secretary.

26. 11. 1903

Name	Remarks by the Councillor.
V. P. Madhava Rao, Esq., C.I.E., First Councillor. Sent on 26. 11. 03 Received on 26 NOV 1903 night C 133	The modification may made by 29/11/1903
C. Sreenivasiengar, Esq., Second Councillor. Sent on ____ Received on ____	Seen by the Council

MGGPB—500—8-5-03.

Submitted

Dewan 1/12/3

1/12/3

2 Un-notified corrections only, Unnecessary: let a copy of this note be kept in Regd. Matters in which rule is not mentioned in these Regulations should be referred to Govt. also. 2 Dec 03

Dewan 4/12/3

14406 1-12-03

Draft is put up

Circulation Hour

24/11/3

The matter was placed before the Council and the Dewan passed the following order:—

"Is the order confined only to Madras Get a copy of that order through the Residency. What is the rule in such matters in other parts of British India - ascertain that practice also and obtain copies of orders."

(Sd) P. S. K.
2-12-03

Accordingly the Residency was written to and the reply recd is sub. The First Asst Resident ~~xxx~~ invites the attention of the Govt to the provisions of the Note to art: 332 of the B. Civil Service Regulations and says that so far as is known to the Hon'ble the Resident, the officers who enjoy annual vacation are placed on the same footing as those who do not enjoy that privilege in regard to the grant of casual leave.

× Flag A

and that under these circumstances the Hon'ble the Resident does not consider that there is any necessity to ask the Local Govts and Administrations for copies of the orders issued by them.

Subs for issue
DMB
21/1/04

HMS
26/1/04

22/1/04

15423
26.1.04.

Mr Dewan

06729

who drafted the letter with reference — & why was an enquiry like it made — Mr Weir was uncertain the practice if it is similar what is contd in Madras G.O. of 2nd May 1903 — Please let me know who is responsible for this draft.

B

27.1.04

I am responsible for the draft as I passed it finally. I thought it conveyed what was ~~indeed~~ intended by the Dewan & am sorry to find that it does not.

15544
30.1.04

Mr Dewan

HMS
30/1/4

1. Draft is subd.

2. The Senior Surgeon has now submitted copy of the Madras Govt order No. 942 d 17th Octr 1903 and solicited orders to have ~~these~~ it embodied in the M. S. Regulations. This involves the following two concessions:—

(1) Casual leave may be combined with Sundays and authorized holidays

(2) When a Govt Servant is called upon to attend office on a public authorized holiday except as a punishment, he should be granted another day in its place when opportunity offers.

*Hay C.

The concession (No 1) is under the consideration of Govt. and the present communication to the Residency refers to it.

The concession (No 2) is subject to the sanction of the Govt of India.

Subd.
DWZ
8/2/04

AD
9/2/04

As regards the Devans Query dated the

the 2nd Feby, it is my humble opinion that it is not necessary to make any further enquiry from the Residency as they have already referred us to the British (and Service Regns.

(casual leave not being "subject to any rule" you won't find anything in the Service Regulations - it is regulated by Rules of Practice same - issued by Local Government.

The other points brought to notice by the Senior Surgeon are subd for orders.

Subd to the Senior through the 2nd Cr.

29/2/04

I am not in favor of the holidays being given in lieu of recognized holidays on which an officer is required to do office work. The grant of this concession is likely to lead to unexpected difficulties and complications -

The only question is whether holidays & Sundays may be ~~also~~ permitted to be prefixed and affixed to casual leave - On this point my opinion is already on record (Vide my several notes the last of which is dated 21/11/03) The latest ruling of the Madras Govt on the subject is No 424 Public dy 2nd May 1903 which has been quoted in full in the Chief Court letter of 12th August 03, and is a abstract in

C

the enclosure to the Secretary Surgeon letter of 29-1-04. The Dewan wished it to be ascertained whether this practice was limited to Madras or prevailed also in other Provinces of British India. This enquiry has not yet been made. Please obtain his orders as to the action to be taken. The Madras Govt. ruling on the question seems to be an equitable one & I am in favor of its adoption in Mysore, especially since articles 172 & 173 under which Mysore officers used to enjoy certain special privileges, have been cancelled.

C.

4/3/04

X

The Dewan also wanted a copy of it to be obtained. Perhaps it is for sale in the Madras Govt Dep. Please refer to list of papers & places at the disposal of the Dep & opened for sale. The Fort St. George Gazette will give you this information. C.

R.S.R.
Please find out & obtain 2 copies & send up.
4/3

The Dewan reminded me may be sent in case an enquiry is to be made. C

4/3/04.

Sd/2
It is not published.
(P.S. see the remarks at the bottom of the G.O. regarding the accompanying slip.
The draft is typed & sent.
DMB
7/3/04

16461/8.3.04. The Residency was again written to accordingly. The First Asst to the Hon'ble the

the Resident ~~&~~ forwards a copy of the Madras Govt. orders No. 424 Public dated 2nd May 1903, asked for and States in reply that the Hon'ble the Resident considers it unnecessary to address any other Local Governments on the subject. He invites the attention of the Durbar to the provisions of art. 220 of the British Civil Service Regulations and states that there is no objection to a Sunday or Gazetted holiday being combined with casual leave, that it is the practice in force in the C and M. Station Bangalore and that casual leave is not granted so as to cause evasion of the rules mentioned in the note to art. 332 of the British Civil Service Regulations

Flag B.

† corresponds to art. 156 of the Mysore Service Regulations

* Flag A

Sub2 proceedings.

DWB
19/4/04

JB
19/4/04

The Madras Govt's orders are in favour of combining Sundays and Gazetted holidays with casual leave, with the restriction that the total period of casual leave so taken does not exceed 10 days at a time.

The Hon'ble the Resident has declined to address other Local Govts on the subject.

The extension of the Madras Govt's rule

My opinion is already on record - I wanted again submit that I am in favour of the adoption of the Madras Rule - The practice in the Courts of Calcutta has been stated to be the same as in Madras - C

1/6/04

1/6/04

18117
2.6.04.

to this State would be a great boon to the
Officers of this Govt.

Subd to the Dewan
through the 1st Cr

Chandralamba Rao
31/5/04

1501
1-6-04

Subd to the Dewan

The 1st Mr opinion is noted
on the preceding page GK 1/6/04

This Council Matter which was seen
by the Councillors Mr Madhavarao
and Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar in Novr 1903
has not yet been seen by the present 2nd Councillor

(1923)
Let him see a GK 2/6/04
please this appointment meeting of Council.

3.VI.04

Subd to the 2d Cr GK
4/6/04

(Council)

many thanks; seen; returned to be kindly as ordered after perusal by the Dewan Sahib proposed to be placed before council. I am informed by a Peshkar Office that there Casual leave is not granted in continuation of Sunday or authorized holidays. Such combination may lead to an abuse of the concession & result in a lull in the currency of business. VKK 4.6.1904

18158
5.6.04

19208 for the next meeting

7.VI.04

GK 9/6/04

Extract from the Proceedings of the 64th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 15th *June 1904.*

V Subject - (Clause viii of Schedule).

Modification of the existing rules so as to provide for the grant of casual leave in combination with, - i.e. either immediately before or after Sundays and other authorized holidays.

Opinions of the Dewan & Councillors.

Casual leave may be permitted to be combined in any manner with Sundays and other authorized holidays, provided that not more than five days' casual leave exclusive of such Sundays or holidays shall be taken during one period of absence and provided that no period of absence from duty shall exceed seven days.

The existing rules to be modified accordingly.

sd/- P. N. Krishna Murti
Dewan of Mysore.

Offg. Secretary.

Submitted to His Highness.

The proposed alteration
in rules is approved. The
Ruler's casual leave may
be taken with holidays when
the whole period of absence
does not exceed seven days.
This forbids the practice
in British India where such
maximum period is ten
days. This long period
is not however deemed
necessary here.

22.11.04

DATE 22.6.04
NO. 1808

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

18467
20.6.04

Subject.

Modification of the existing rules so as to provide for the grant of casual leave in combination with,- i.e., either immediately before or after,- Sundays and other authorized holidays.

Order.

DATE 29.6.04
NO. 1931

Approved
~~K B W~~
28/6/04

Orders issued
29.VI.04

~~Fl & Ly~~ D/S
Prepare the order
soon please
29.6.1904

30.6.04

(1) Draft Submitted.

(2) Orders have not been passed on the 2nd point raised by the Senior Surgeon in his letter No. G 407½ d. 29th Jany 1904, viz the grant of compensation leave.

Perhaps the Govt did not think of imposing any other on it

Sub. to the Secy

DMB 1/7/04

Secy 2/7/04

3.7.1904

made 4-7-04

1. Draft Proposed.

Please

3.7.1904

G.R.S. 121

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S&A File No. 11 of 1903-04

Serial No. 85

Government Order No. Fl. 171-210 S&A 11-'03 dated the 9th July 1904.

directs that under certain provisos casual leave may be combined in any manner with Sundays and other authorized holidays and requests the Comptroller to Submit for the approval of Govt draft addenda and corrigenda to the Mysore Service Regulations modifying the existing rules on the subject

6 1/4

Letter No. 994 Spl 22 dated the 7th August 1904 from the Comptroller Submitting as desired, for the approval of Govt the draft addenda and corrigenda to the M. S. Regns

In the draft proposed, the Comptroller has Substituted the words "in combination with other leave" in the place of "in continuation of any other leave" occurring in line 9 of ~~art. 175~~ the existing art. 175 of the M. S. Regns. Draft Subd.

15/8/04

1578

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10 000—19-5-04

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S H A File No. 11 of 1903

Serial No.

1) The Substitution of "in Combination with" for "in Continuation of" is not warranted by the G.O. No 7171-210 S H A 11-03 of 9-7-04.

2) In the 10th line of the Draft addenda & Corrigenda the words "in any manner" are underlined, and for: there is no authority Sept. Sundays & other authorised holidays "on" has been inserted instead of "and" which is the word used in the G.O.

3) ~~In the article as it originally stood~~ for the ~~words~~ Sentence "It (Casual leave) shall be given only when it can be granted without inconvenience to the public service" appearing in the Original article, the following ~~words~~ Sentence has been substituted in the draft article "Casual leave shall not be granted except when it can be given without inconvenience to the public Service" No Alteration seems necessary.

4) The Draft article does not contain the "explanation" ordered in Pro No 9610-7(1007 of 3-6-1903). This explanation has not been disturbed by the latest Order & should therefore stand. The draft article ~~is~~ with the above Alteration is subm. to Govt for approval.

Draft passed. 23.8.04

18/8/04

Cost Govt Please put a neat draft article as altered

Note—Contd. sheet

MGCPB—10 000—19-5-04

Govt Sanction issued vide G.O. No 7171-210 S H A 11-03 dt 23/8/04.

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S & A File No. 11 of 1903.

Serial No.	
87	To the Camp Nolla in Mysore No. 7 1317
	d 2-9-04
	Approves the addenda & Corrigenda with slight alterations.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCP B—10 000—19-5-04

(1)

To

The First Asst to
the Hon'ble the
Resident in
Mysore

No P&A 1101

Dy. 10th December 1903

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be good enough to ascertain and inform the Durbar what the practice is in the Madras Presidency and other parts of the British India as regards the grant of casual leave to both the officers enjoying and not enjoying annual vacations.

I am further to request

request the favour
of your obtaining for the information of the Duke of Lancaster
the existing orders on
the subject. ~~and~~
~~for furnishing the~~
~~same to this office~~.

I have etc

[signature]
9/12/03
Secretary

DMB
7/12/03

HJ
9/12/03

R. P. B.—12-03.—1,000.

Pol 1049

(2)

No. 26 / Pol 1049 / 1506 6.1.04

Rec 5.1.04

FROM

R. C. H. MOSS. KING, ESQ., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN M

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 6th January

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the re
Mr. Nanjundayya's letter No. P & A 1101 dated th
December 1903, enquiring what the practice is in
ras Presidency and other parts of British India
the grant of casual leave to both the officers
and those not enjoying annual vacations, and as
all the existing orders on the subject.

2. I am to invite the attention of t
ment of His Highness the Maharaja to the provi
the note to Article 332 of the Civil Service R
and to state that so far as is known to the Re
Officers who enjoy annual vacation are placed
footing as those who do not enjoy that privile
gard to the grant of casual leave.

3. Under these circumstances, Sir James Bourdillon does not consider that there is any necessity to ask the Local Governments and Administrations for copies of the orders issued by them.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

First Assistant Resident.

A/C

BRITISH CIVIL SERVICE REGULATIONS.

Section VI.Extraordinary Leave...

332.--Subject always to the provisions of any Statute applicable to the case,the Local Government (or, if the officer be on furlough or Special leave in Europe,the Secretary of State)may, in case of necessity and when no other kind of leave is by rule admissible,grant leave of absence from duty otherwise than under these Regulations : Provided that:-

(i) such leave may not be granted in combination with the grant of other leave except as provided in Art: 233 . But it may be granted in continuation of other leave if circum-stances arise which prevent the return by the officer to duty,and which in the opinion of the Local Government ,are such as to justify the concession.No officer is entitled to Extraordinary Leave;

(ii)an officer absent from duty on leave so granted shall receive no absentee allowance;and

(iii) if he is a member of the Indian Civil Service or a Military Officer subject to the Civil Leave Rules, he shall retain no lien upon any office.

I.In cases in which the duration of the Extraordinary Leave to be granted does not exceed two months,the Local Government may dispense with the condition in this Article that the leave can be granted only when no other kind of leave is by rule admissible.

NOTE:- (this article does not refer to casual leave for short periods. Such leave is not recognised and is not subject to any rule. Technically therefore,an officer on casual leave is not treated as absent from duty,and his salary is not intermitted . Casual leave,however must not be given so as to cause evasion of the rules regarding ;-

(i) Date of reckoning allowances) (See Arts.52 to55)
(ii)Charge of office)

(iii)Commencement and end of leave)
(iv) Return to duty) (See Arts.220-231)

or so as to extend the term of Privilege or other leave beyond the time admissible by rule.)

Explanation

Explanation:- This note is not to be read as precluding the treatment as casual leave, of absence from duty following on leave granted under the rules, so long as such absence is due to reasons involving no evasion of the rules in regard to the matters above specified, as for instance when it is necessitated by detention in a plague camps on the way to rejoin, or by orders not to attend office in consequence of the presence of infectious disease in the family or house hold of the person concerned.

When however, absence from duty, for reasons of the above nature above indicated, exceeds the period which may reasonably be treated as casual leave under the discretion vested in the head of the office the case should be dealt with as follows:-

(1) If the person concerned has further leave due to him carrying the same allowances as the leave already granted, he will be required to take an extension of leave to cover his further absence from duty.

(2) If the further absence from duty cannot be covered in this way, the period, or such part of it as remains uncovered, will be treated as leave without allowances, unless the person concerned prefers to substitute leave of another description for that which he has previously taken.

Example:- A, who has had two months' privilege leave, is detained for a further period of one month in a plague camp. If he has a month or less Privilege leave ~~due~~ still due, but has Furlough to his credit, he has the option of converting the entire period of his absence from duty into furlough, or of taking leave without allowances for the extra month.

A.R.C.

* This must be reckoned against the further period of detention. If he has no privilege leave due,

No. G4071/2 (2) a Dated 29th January 1904
3/2/04

From

Lt.Col. John Smyth M.D.,I.M.S.

Offg. Senior Surgeon and Sanitary Commissioner

with the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Bangalore.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr, M.A.,M.L.

Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of

Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Departments, Bangalore.

In forwarding the accompanying copy of Madras Govern-
ment Order No.942 dated 17th October 1903 regarding holidays in
Public offices, I have the honor to request the favor of orders
being issued to have the same embodied in the Mysore Service
Regulations.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.D.

Lt.Col.I.M.S.

Offg.Senior Surgeon and Sanitary Commr,

PtA-1074

1904

From

G 407½
29 Jan 1904

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr. M.A., M. L.
Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja
of Mysore
General Revenue Dept.
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No. 1

Forwarding a Copy of Madras Government Order No 942 dated 17th October 1903 regarding holidays in Public Offices and requesting orders being issued to have the same embodied in the Mysore Service Regulations.

Put up
6.2.04

Read - again the following papers:-

G.O., No.917, Public, dated 16th September 1902.

Abstract.- Prescribing certain rules relating to the observance of holidays in public offices including holidays notified under the Negotiable Instruments Act.

G. O., No.424, Public, dated 2nd May 1903.

Abstract.- Stating that casual leave may be combined with Sundays and other authorized holidays under certain limits, and that the aggregate amount of casual leave, exclusive of Sundays and holidays, should not exceed fifteen days in any one calendar year.

Order - No. 942, Public, dated 17th October 1903.

In clause (3) of G. O., No. 917, Public, dated the 16th September 1902, it is laid down that a Government servant who is called on to attend office on a public authorized holiday except as a punishment, should be granted another day in its place when opportunity offers. The following questions have now arisen in this connection:-

(a) Up to what limit holidays may be granted in lieu of public holidays on which the Government servant has been required to attend office;-

(b) to what extent such holidays may be allowed to accumulate;

(c) whether such holidays may be combined with casual leave or other authorized holidays; and

(d) whether gazetted officers may take such holidays.

2. The Government resolve to pass the following orders:-

(1) Such holidays may not be taken by any Government servant without the previous permission of the authority who is competent to grant him casual leave. An officer who is allowed to avail himself of casual leave without obtaining the previous permission of higher authority should intimate his intention of taking such a holiday to the authority to whom he makes similar intimations.

intimations in respect of casual leave.

(2) Not more than ten such holidays in all may be taken in a calendar year and no such holiday shall be taken after the expiry of six months from the public holiday for which it is substituted. It will, however, be within the discretion of the head of an office to call on the subordinate affected to take such holiday on any date within the six months which the head of the office finds to be convenient.

(3) Not more than seven such holidays may be accumulated and a lower number may be fixed in his discretion by the head of the office.

(4) Such holidays may be combined with casual leave or other authorized holidays, provided that the total period of absence from duty shall not exceed ten days.

(5) Gazetted officers who are subordinates in an office may be granted such holidays under the foregoing rules. In the case of a gazetted officer in independent charge of an office the same rules will apply, but if the permission of any authority to take a holiday is necessary under rule (1) above, such authority should, before granting the required permission, satisfy himself that owing to the exigencies of the public service the said gazetted officer was unable to avail himself of the public holiday in lieu of which he applies for permission to take a holiday under clause (3) of G. O., No. 917, Public, dated 16th September 1902.

(True Extract.)

Sd/ M. Hammick,
Ag.Chief Secretary

"Copy"

Thumph

M. D.
Lt. Col. I. M. S.
Offg. Senr. Surgn. W. C. Dnlg.

To

The First Assistant to the Hon'ble

the Resident in Mysore.

Office Copy

No. P&A 1973 (4)(3)

Dated 16th March 1904.

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter No.26 dated 5th January 1904, I am directed to request the favour of ~~the~~ your ~~being~~ ascertained for the information of the Durbar whether the order No.424 Public dated 2nd May 1903 passed by the Government of Madras authorizing the combination of casual leave with Sundays and other authorized holidays under certain limits, is confined only to Madras and if so, whether there is any, and what rule on the subject in other parts of the British India as well as in the Civil and Military Stations of Bangalore. I am also to request you kindly obtain for the ~~in~~-use ~~formation~~ of the Durbar copies of the above

above order of the Madras Government and

of other orders if any on the point. The

question under the consideration of the Durbar

is whether authorized holidays and Sundays

can be prefixed and affixed to casual leave

and if so whether this is subject to any and what

limitations. No rules on the subject are found in the C. S. Regulations.

I have &c.,

Offg. Secretary.

9.3.04

C.M.

PtA - 1518

Rec'd 16/4/04

R. P. B.—12-03.—1,000.

Pol 1662

No. 1999 E 1

(85)

FROM

R. C. H. MOSS. KING, ESQ., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 16 april 1904.

SIR,

With reference to the correspondence ending with Mr. Venkatavaradiengar's letter No. P & A 1973, dated 16th March 1904 I am directed to forward for the information of the Durbar a G.O.No.424, Public, dated 2-5-'03. I copy of the rules of the Government of Madras regarding the combination of casual leave with Sundays and other authorised holidays.

2. In this connection I am to invite the attention of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja to the provisions of Section 220 of the Civil Service Regulations, and to state that so far as is known to the Resident, there is no objection to a Sunday or Gazetted holiday being combined with casual leave. This is the practice in force in the Civil and Military Station, but casual leave is not granted so as to cause evasion of the rules mentioned in the Note to Article 332 of the Civil Service Regulations.

3. Sir James Bourdillon considers it unnecessary to address any other Local Governments on the subject.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for. First Assistant Resident.

1:2

Government {1903} of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Recd.	1903.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 424, 2nd May 1903.

MAY.

Casual Leave.

Stating that — may be combined with Sundays and other authorised holidays under certain limits, and that the aggregate amount of casual leave exclusive of Sundays and holidays should not exceed fifteen days in any one calendar year.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 1290, Public, dated 31st December 1901.

Abstract.—Informing the Board of Revenue that the restriction against the combination of casual leave with the Christmas and Easter vacations cannot be withdrawn, and ruling that casual leave may be granted for a period not exceeding seven days at one time, subject to an aggregate of fifteen days in a year.

G.O., No. 194, Public, dated 27th February 1902.

Abstract.—Ruling that a Sunday occurring either at the beginning or end may be enjoyed without being reckoned as affecting the number of days granted or allowable as casual leave, but that a Sunday occurring in the middle of the leave will be included in the seven days' limit; and stating that other existing orders regarding the combination of authorized holidays with casual leave will remain unaffected.

G.O., No. 408, Public, dated 1st May 1902.

Abstract.—Withdrawing the restriction against the combination of casual leave with the Christmas and Easter vacations and ruling that casual leave may be prefixed or affixed to any gazetted holidays, subject to the condition that the total period of absence from duty should not exceed ten days.

Read—also the following paper:—

Official Memorandum from the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 18th February 1903, No. 417, Routine.

With reference to G.O., No. 194, Public, dated 27th February 1902 (communicated with G.O., No. 749, Revenue, dated 12th March 1902), extending the limit of casual leave which may be granted at one time to seven days, but observing that a Sunday occurring in the middle of the leave will be included in the seven days' limit, the Board desires to enquire whether a Sunday so occurring is to be taken into consideration only for determining the maximum period of absence from duty when no holidays are prefixed or affixed (the maximum when holidays are prefixed or affixed being ten days under G.O., No. 408, Public, dated 1st May 1902) or whether it counts also as one of the fifteen days for which casual leave may be taken during the year; in other words, whether, when casual leave for, say, five days exclusive of an intervening Sunday has been taken, the number of days of casual leave admissible during the rest of the year will be ten or nine. Under G.O., No. 817, Financial, dated 2nd October 1897, Sundays when occurring in the middle of casual leave were not reckoned either towards the limit of five days then allowable at a time or towards the limit of ten days then allowable during the year: it is not clear, however, whether this mode of reckoning casual leave is still permissible in any case.

(True Extract.)

A. R. CUMMING,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue department.

Endt. R. No. 379, Revenue, dated 4th March 1903.

Transferred to the Public department.

N. E. MARJORIBANKS,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Public department.
Copy to the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

ORDER—No. 424, Public, dated 2nd May 1903.

Casual leave may be combined in any manner with Sundays and other authorized holidays, provided that not more than ~~seven~~ five days' casual leave exclusive of such Sundays or holidays shall be taken during one period of absence and provided that no period of absence from duty shall exceed ~~ten~~ five days. The aggregate amount of casual leave which any officer of Government may have, during the course of one calendar year, shall not, without the special sanction of Government, exceed fifteen days, exclusive of Sundays and holidays, which under this order may be combined therewith.

(True Extract.)

G. S. FORBES,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
" Departments of the Secretariat.
" Heads of departments under the Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

24/8/01

Nilgiris
24-8-01.

Dear Mr. Madias,

I find on reference to the Registers and Records of this office that of the two questions, viz Hill allowances and Casual leave, regarding which further proposals were promised in my letter forwarding the Revised Service Regulations in 1894, only in regard to the first a subsequent communication appears to have been made forwarding for orders of Govt. the Madras Hill allowance Code. In regard to Casual leave, the alternative proposals formulated by me at the time have not been sent up as this question came up to me in the meantime for opinion through the D.P.I. with the Govt's memo. enquiring why the British Rules should not be followed in this Province also and was disposed of.

I said, however, three alternatives were then discussed —

(1) To have the British Rules as regards Casual leave and as a Compensation for the loss of the Concessions Contained in Articles 14/2 & 14/3, to remove the restriction of 6 months interval laid down in Article 188 as regards Privilege leave or to reduce it to one month.

(2). To alter Articles 14/2 & 14/3 So as to restrict the period of Special Casual leave therein provided to 15 days in Each Calendar year — the other rules in regard to Casual and Privilege leave remaining as heretofore.

(3). To alter Articles 14/1 & 14/5 as follows and omit 14/2 & 14/3: —

14/1. Casual leave may be granted to an Officer for 30 days in each Calendar year but not for more than 15 days at a time, provided the period of such leave granted to an Officer in excess of 15 days in each Calendar year shall be deducted from the Privilege

leave that may be at his credit at the time or from the Privilege leave that may thereafter be earned by him.

14/5. To omit "Nor shall it be taken either immediately before or immediately after any Authorised public holidays &c."

I am Yours very sincerely

E Montagu

Rescd
Suspend

65

Order No. Fin. 171-210
SFA 11-'03
dt 9-7-'04

The Government of H. H. the Maharaja are pleased to direct that casual leave may be ~~permitted~~ combined in any manner with Sundays and other authorized holidays, provided that not more than five days' casual leave exclusive of such Sundays or holidays shall be taken during one period of absence and provided that no period of absence from duty shall exceed Seven days

2. The Comptroller is requested to submit for the approval of Govt draft addenda and corrigenda to the Mysore Service Regulations modifying the existing rules

rules accordingly.

Secretary

To The Comptroller to the
Govt of Mysore
The other Heads of Depts
The Dy Commrs of Dts

Subd
May this be printed
and issued?
DMB
1/7/04

II Dwn 994 E
Spl No 22

(6) Diary No: 554.

Special DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, 9 August 1904

From

Henry Eagles, Esq.,

Offg: COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE.

To

B.K.Venkatavarada Iyengar, Esq.,
~~THE~~ SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Depts

SIR,

In acknowledging the receipt of Government Pro: No.Fl:171-210 S&AFll-03 dated 9th July '04 permitting the combination, in any manner, of casual leave with Sundays or other authorised holidays and as desired therein to submit herewith for approval of Government a draft of the Addenda & Corrigenda to the Mysore Service Regulations proposed to be issued.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H Eagles

Offg:Comptroller.

~~Special Audit~~ DEPARTMENT.

1904

From H. Eagles Esquire
Offg ~~THE~~ COMPTROLLER,
MYSORE GOVT.

To B. K. Venkatavaradayya
THE SECY. TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,
Genl & Revenue Dept.s.

Dated 7
Despatched 9th August
Received

No. 994 Encl one
No. Spl 22.

SUBJECT MATTER

Submitting for approval Govt a draft of the addenda & Corrigenda in re permitting the combination, in any manner of casual leave with Sunday or other authorised holidays - as directed in Govt Pro No. ~~Fl 171-210 F 247~~ 11-0-3 dated 9th July 04

Draft of

Addenda and Corrigenda to the

Mysore Service Regulations.

(Second Edition)

Pages 50 & 51 — Article 175.

Substitute the following for this Article :-

175 Casual leave shall ~~not~~ be granted ~~except~~ only when it can be given without inconvenience to the Public Service, ~~Nor shall it be given~~ must not be granted so as to cause evasion of the rules regarding.

(i) Date of reckoning allowances (ii) Charge of office.	See Article 44-49
(iii) Commencement and end of leave (iv) Return to duty -	---do--- 156-164

It shall not ordinarily be granted in combination with other leave, but it may be combined in any manner with Sundays or other authorised holidays, provided that not more than 5 days Casual leave, exclusive of such Sundays or holidays, shall be taken during one period of absence and provided also that such period of absence shall not exceed seven days.

Sd/ Eagles

Offg:Comptroller.

Comptroller's Office

Bangalore August '04.

Draft of
Addenda and Corrigenda to the
Mysore Service Regulations
(Second edition)

Art 175

Pages 50 & 51.

Substitute the following for this article:—

175. Casual leave shall be granted only when it can be given without inconvenience to the Public Service. It must not be granted so as to cause evasion of the rules regarding

(i) Date of reckoning allowances } See arts. 44-49
(ii) Charge of office —

(iii) Commencement and end of leave } do 156-164
(iv) Return to duty

It shall not ordinarily be granted in continuation of other leave, but it may be combined in any manner with Sundays and other authorized holidays, provided that not more than 5 days casual leave, exclusive of such Sundays and holidays, shall be taken during any period of absence and provided also that such period of absence shall not exceed seven days in all.

P. T. O.

EXPLANATION.—This Article is not to be read as precluding the treatment as casual leave, under Article 171, of absence from duty following on leave granted under the rules, so long as such absence is due to reasons involving no evasion of the rule in regard to the matters above specified, as for instance, when it is necessitated by detention in plague camps on the way to rejoin or by orders not to attend office in consequence of the presence of infectious disease in the family or household of the person concerned.

When, however, absence from duty for reasons of the nature indicated above, exceeds the maximum period prescribed for casual leave, the case should be dealt with as follows:—

(*a*) In the case of a person who has not taken any leave—if he is entitled to privilege leave and if the period of absence can be covered by such leave, privilege leave will be given. If he is not entitled to such leave, he will be given other leave under the rules.

(*b*) In the case of a person who has already taken leave—

(1) if he has further leave due to him carrying the same allowances as the leave already granted, he will be entitled to take an extension of leave to cover his further absence from duty;

(2) if the further absence from duty cannot be covered in this way, the period or such part of it as remains uncovered will be treated as leave without allowances, unless the person concerned prefers to substitute leave of another kind for that which he has previously taken.

EXAMPLE.—A, who has had two months privilege leave, is detained for a further period of one month in a plague camp. If he has a month or less privilege leave still due, this must be reckoned against the further period of detention. If he has no privilege leave due, but has furlough at his credit, he has the option of converting the entire period of absence from duty into furlough or of taking leave without allowances for the extra month. (Proceedings No. 9610—II 1007, dated 3rd June 1901.)

23-8-1904

G.R.S. 28]

File No. II – 03
Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 5574

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(II)

Dated, the 2d September 1904

Date of issue 2d Sepbr 1904

Signed by Mr. M N Krishnarao

READ.—

Letter No. 994 Sgl 22 dated the 7th August 1904 from the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore Submitting, as directed in Govt Pro. No. H 171–210 Sgl A 11–03 dated the 9th July 1904, for the approval of Govt draft addenda and corrigenda to the Mysore Service Regulations modifying the existing rules regarding the grant of Casual leave

over

~~ORDER THEREON.—~~ No.

SUBJECT

~~approved~~ approving the addenda and corrigenda to the M. S. Regns proposed by the Comptroller, modifying the existing rules regarding the grant of Casual leave

MGCPB—3,000—21-6-04

Comptl 2/9/04

Order thereon H. 1317 & 2 Sept 04
S&A 11-03

The draft of ~~the~~ two addenda and
corrigenda ~~[redacted]~~ referred to
~~[redacted]~~, is approved.
With slight alterations as to
copy herewith sent.

23.8.1904
Secretary

DMB
To 15/9/04

MCC
18/8/04

The Comptroller in Mysore